1 2 3 4 5	NIALL E. LYNCH (State Bar No. 157959) NATHANAEL M. COUSINS (State Bar No. 177944 MAY LEE HEYE (State Bar No. 209366) BRIGID S. BIERMANN (State Bar No. 231705) CHARLES P. REICHMANN (State Bar No. 206699) Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6660	
7 8	Attorneys for the United States	
9	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	D/HVI ICHVOIDEO I	DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Case No. 06-0752 SI
14	v.) STIPULATED NOTICE) OF RELATED CASE
15	D. JAMES SOGAS,) IN A CRIMINAL ACTION; and [PROPOSED] ORDER
16	Defendant.) and [1 ROTO SED] ORDER)
17 18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)) Case No. 06-0692 PJH
19	V	
20	IL UNG KIM; YOUNG BAE RHA; and GARY SWANSON,	
21	Defendants.	
2223	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Case No. 06-0635 PJH
24	V.	(
25	THOMAS QUINN,) }
26	Defendant.)))
27	[CAPTION CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE]	
28		

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
2	V.) Case No. CR 06-0180 PJH
3) Case No. CR 00-0100 1311
4	SUN WOO LEE; YEONGHO KANG; and YOUNG WOO LEE,	}
5	Defendants.)
6		_}
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
8	V.) Case No. CR 06-126 PJH
9	D.S. KIM, C.K. CHUNG, K.C. SUH, and	
10	C.Y. CHOI,	
11	Defendants.	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
)
13	V.) Case No. CR 06-0059 PJH
14	ELPIDA MEMORY, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
18	v.	Case No. CR 05-643 PJH
19	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY,	
20	LIMITED and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,	}
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
24	v.	Case No. CR 05-249 PJH
25	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC.,	
26	Defendant.	
27		_J
	[CAPTION CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE]	
28	Stipulated Notice of Related Cases CR 06-0752 SI 2	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v.	Case No. CR 04-299 PJH
INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG,))
Defendant.)))
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v.	Case No. CR 04-397 PJH
T. RUDD CORWIN; HEINRICH FLORIAN; GÜNTER HEFNER; and PETER SCHAEFER,)))
Defendants.))

Under Crim. L. R. 8-1, the United States and D. James Sogas ("Defendant"), file this Stipulated Notice of Related Case in a Criminal Action for this criminal case, <u>United States v. D. James Sogas</u>, CR 06-0752 (SI) to nine previously filed criminal cases assigned to Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton, <u>United States v. Il Ung Kim</u>, et al., CR 06-692 (PJH), <u>United States v. Thomas Quinn</u>, CR 06-635 (PJH), <u>United States v. Sun Woo Lee</u>, et al., CR 06-180 (PJH), <u>United States v. D.S. Kim</u>, et al., CR 06-126 (PJH), <u>United States v. Elpida Memory</u>, CR 06-0059 (PJH), <u>United States v. Samsung Electronics Company, Limited and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.</u>, CR 05-643 (PJH), <u>United States v. Hynix Semiconductor Inc.</u>, CR 05-249 (PJH), <u>United States v. T. Rudd Corwin</u>, et al., CR 04-397 (PJH), and <u>United States v. Infineon Technologies AG</u>, CR 04-299 (PJH). The United States and Defendant jointly request relating this case to Judge Hamilton.

A chronological history of these related criminal cases follows. On September 15, 2004, the United States filed an Information in <u>United States v. Infineon Technologies AG</u>, charging Infineon with participating in a conspiracy to fix the prices of DRAM sold to certain computer manufacturers. On October 20, 2004, Infineon pled guilty for participating in the price-fixing conspiracy and was sentenced to pay a \$160 million fine before Judge Hamilton. On December 2, 2004, the United States filed an Information in United States v. T. Rudd Corwin, et al.,

Stipulated Notice of Related Cases CR 06-0752 SI

Case 4:06-cr-00126-PJH Document 44 Filed 12/01/06 Page 4 of 7

charging four individual defendants with participating in the same price-fixing conspiracy in the DRAM industry. On December 15, 2004, Judge Hamilton sentenced each of the four individuals. On April 21, 2005, the United States filed an Information in <u>United States v. Hynix</u> Semiconductor Inc., charging Hynix with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On May 11, 2005, Hynix pled guilty for participating in the price-fixing conspiracy and was sentenced by Judge Hamilton to pay a \$185 million fine. On October 13, 2005, the United States filed an Information in United States v. Samsung Electronics Company, Limited and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., charging Samsung with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On November 30, 2005, Samsung pled guilty for participating in the price-fixing conspiracy and was sentenced by Judge Hamilton to pay a \$300 million fine. On January 30, 2006, the United States filed an Information in United States v. Elpida Memory, Inc., charging Elpida with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On March 22, 2006, Elpida pled guilty for participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy and was sentenced by Judge Hamilton to pay an \$84 million fine. On March 1, 2006, the United States filed an Information in United States v. D.S. Kim et al., charging four individual defendants with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On March 15, 2006, Judge Hamilton sentenced each of the four individuals. On March 22, 2006, the United States filed an Information in United States v. Sun Woo Lee, et al., charging three individual defendants with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On April 2, 2007, Judge Hamilton sentenced two of the three individuals, Sun Woo Lee and Yeongho Kang. On August 30, Judge Hamilton sentenced the third individual, Young Woo Lee. On September 21, 2006, the United States filed an Information in <u>United States v. Thomas Quinn</u>, charging one individual defendant with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On November 8, 2006, Judge Hamilton sentenced Thomas Quinn. On October 18, 2006, the United States filed an Indictment in United States v. Il Ung Kim, et al., charging three individual defendants with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy.

The Information charges that Defendant participated in the DRAM price-fixing

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Stipulated Notice of Related Cases CR 06-0752 SI

conspiracy. There are common events and occurrences alleged in this case and the nine 1 predecessor cases pending before Judge Hamilton. 2 The assignment of this case to Judge Hamilton is therefore likely to conserve judicial 3 resources and to promote an efficient determination of the action. 4 Dated: November 27, 2006 5 Respectfully submitted, 6 7 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE D. JAMES SOGAS 8 9 and B. Altshuler Nial E. Lynch, CA No. Attorney at Law Namanael M. Cousins, CA No. 177944 10 407 Sherman Avenue May Lee Heye, CA No. 209366 Palo Alto, CA 94306 Tel: (650) 328-7917 Fax: (650) 327-9151 Brigid S. Biermann, CA No. 231705 11 Charles P. Reichmann, CA No. 206699 Trial Attorneys 12 U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 13 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 14 San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 436-6660 Fax: (415) 436-6687 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Stipulated Notice of Related Cases CR 06-0752 SI 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Case No. CR 06-0752
v.	
D. JAMES SOGAS,	[P ROPOSE D] ORDER
Defendant.	RELATED CRIMINAL CASES

This Court has considered the Stipulated Notice of Related Cases in a Criminal Action under Crim. L. R. 8-1, jointly filed by the United States and defendant D. James Sogas. Because the assignment of <u>United States v. D. James Sogas</u> to Judge Phyllis Hamilton is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient determination of the action, it is ordered that this case is transferred to Judge Hamilton.

Date: December 1, 2006



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Niall E. Lynch, certify:

That I am a citizen of the United States and am employed by the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 10-0101, San Francisco, CA 94102; I am over the age of eighteen years; and am not a party to the within action.

That on November <u>27</u>, 2006, I sent by fax and United States mail a copy of the following pleadings to the party listed in the foregoing Stipulation.

- 1. Stipulated Notice of Related Cases in a Criminal Action
- 2. [Proposed] Order on Stipulated Notice of Related Case in a Criminal Action

Miall E. Lynch

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November **27**, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

Certificate of Service CR 06-0752 SI